The Warehouse Productivity Benchmark Report

A Guide to Improved Warehouse and Distribution Center Performance

October 2006
**Executive Summary**

For many companies, improved warehouse and distribution center productivity remains a goal, not a reality. Although companies’ top focus in warehouse improvement is cutting logistics costs, 6 out of 10 respondents report that they have not been able to lower costs in the last two years. A majority of companies have also been unable to reduce customer order cycle times.

However, a segment of companies have been able to reduce both costs and cycle times. These top performers are leveraging more technology, have better data visibility, and work harder at cross-training their staffs.

Across the board, companies that are above average warehouse performers in their industry – classified as Best in Class companies – have been much more likely than their peers to have significantly lowered their warehousing costs in the last 24 months (Figure i).

**Figure i: Decreased Warehousing Costs by 11-20% or More Since 2004**

Warehouse Technology Investment Plans

Many companies are finding that they have squeezed all the productivity juice out of their current spreadsheets, homegrown WMS applications, or long-ago-implemented commercial WMS solutions. Benchmark findings reveal that companies of all sizes are looking toward enhanced warehousing technology and automation equipment to take them to the next level of productivity.

Almost 60% of respondents are planning to update or replace their WMS in the next 18 months. Companies are looking for more agility and visibility capabilities in their new WMSs. On-demand WMS solutions and service-oriented architectures are opening up
new opportunities to harness the power of warehouse management software while minimizing IT integration and implementation challenges.

**Recommendations for Action**

This report provides benchmarks and best practice examples for small, midsize, and large warehouse and distribution center operators. To improve warehouse performance, companies should be prioritizing:

- Actively moving toward an automated warehouse management system that provides corporate visibility into warehouse activities and supports workflow agility
- Rolling out labor management planning and reporting capabilities
- Seeking to use slotting and warehouse layout tools, increased material handling automation, or experienced systems integrators to drive more productivity in confined warehouse footprints
- Focusing on warehouse analytics to improve productivity and gross margin management
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Chapter One:
Issue at Hand

Key Takeaways

- Two-thirds of companies have been unable to lower their warehouse costs in the past two years, and 56% have not been able to shorten their order fulfillment times.
- Automation in warehouse management is key to catalyzing higher productivity.
- Companies are demanding greater visibility into order, inventory, and task statuses inside the warehouse and this is requiring new technology capabilities.

Warehousing is no longer the siloed operation ignored by those in the supply chain who do not directly toil within the four walls of a distribution environment. Companies are expecting more from their warehouse and distribution center operations (referred to generically as “warehouses” in this report). They demand greater visibility into order, inventory, and task statuses inside the warehouse. And they expect improved productivity to support sales growth, channel expansion, and increasingly tailored fulfillment services for customers.

Regardless of company revenue or facility square footage, Aberdeen analysis finds that warehouse management system (WMS) automation is a primary key in helping warehousing operations to turn the corner in Best in Class productivity. A new generation of warehousing technology is offering expanded capabilities and improved visibility and analysis features. Almost 60% of the 180 companies participating in Aberdeen’s Warehouse Productivity Benchmark indicate that they are planning to update or replace their WMS in the next 18 months.

Companies of all sizes were about equally as likely to be planning to invest in warehouse technology. See Appendix A for more information on the demographics of the Benchmark participants.

Top Warehousing Pressures

Aberdeen’s warehousing analysis points to a number of pressures compelling warehousing logistics professionals to investigate productivity solutions. Dynamic fuel costs have professionals up and downstream in the supply chain scrambling to find cost-cutting measures and higher operating efficiencies. Similarly, ever-rising square footage expenses are forcing enterprises to think critically about maximizing productivity within their current distribution footprint – as opposed to bringing another site online. Large enterprises continue to seek to reduce the number of stocking locations and drive more productivity from the remaining distribution centers.

As can be seen in Figure 1, these cost and space pressures outweigh the pressures to improve operations because of rising customer demands for faster and more tailored fulfillment. However, the best performing companies are focused on winning in both these dimensions: by creating faster throughput and more workflow agility in their warehouses, they are able to satisfy customer demands while lowering logistics costs.
Figure 1: Warehousing Pressures Driving Companies to Improve Operations

- Pressure to reduce logistics costs to remain competitive: 79%
- Pressure to support business growth without adding additional facilities: 60%
- Respond better to demand/supply fluctuations (e.g. seasonality, promos, product introductions): 56%
- Customer demands for faster and more time-definite fulfillment: 51%
- Customer demands for value-add services and unique fulfillment processes: 38%

% of Respondents Citing as Among Their Top 3 Pressures

Source: Aberdeen Group, October, 2006

Warehouse Cost Challenges

Despite the pressure to cut cost, 6 out of 10 companies report they have been unable to lower their warehouse costs in the past two years (Figure 2). The median annual warehousing expenditure for survey respondents was 4% of all corporate revenue (sales). This figure is reflective of labor costs, systems cost, overhead costs, outsourced costs for yards, docks and warehouse facilities, etc., but does not include transportation or inventory carrying costs.

Figure 2: Change in Warehousing Costs Since 2004 (adjusted for changes in sales volume)

- Stayed about the same, 17%
- 1-10% increase, 31%
- More than 10% increase, 17%
- 11-20% decrease, 13%
- More than 20% decrease, 13%
- 1-10% decrease, 16%

Source: Aberdeen Group, October, 2006
Top cost performers – the 25% of companies that have been able to lower their warehousing costs by more than 10% since 2004 – are taking some distinct actions. Compared to their lower-performing peers, they are more focused on:

- Creating an agile warehouse environment to support customer-specific demands
- Capturing warehouse metrics and activities and sharing them in real time
- Updating their current WMS system to take advantage of the new generation of technology that is service-oriented in nature and thus eager to integrate and re-configure
- Using warehouse dashboards and analytics technology, which they have implemented to a higher degree than their peers
- Formally cross-training associates on several tasks and equipment
- Investing in more material handling automation, especially carousels

**Order Cycle Time Challenges**

An increasingly vital part of any warehouse operation is an enterprise’s ability to deliver on customer demands in a timely fashion. The ability to fulfill orders rapidly reflects on a warehouse’s overall operations – software, human capital, systems, equipment, etc. However, 58% of companies report that they have not been able to shorten their order fulfillment times since 2004. The median customer order cycle time for survey respondents is two days, from time of order receipt to warehouse shipment.

A supply chain manager at a mid-market medical supply was able to reduce order cycle times by conducting value stream mapping on the company’s customer order cycle times. By taking out non-value activities, the company not only reduced cycle times but also costs. The manager explains: “The reduction of inventory on hand has resulted in a total decrease in warehousing costs.”

In contrast, a supply chain manager for a large natural resources enterprise struggles to reduce cycle times for a variety of reasons. “The lack of synergy in some of our supply chain operations, the decision to increase client service levels, and resultant increasing inventory levels” are constant barriers to cycle time reduction, he says.

Top performers have been able to log impressive reductions in cycle times, with 11% reducing their cycle times by more than 20% (Figure 3). From time of order receipt to warehouse shipment, 23% of respondents accomplished this task in less than one day. Approximately 30% did this within two days, 16% within three to four days, and nearly a third took at least a full business week.
Warehousing Productivity Challenges by Company Size

Although improving warehousing productivity is a common theme among companies of all sizes, the actions these companies need to take to succeed differ.

- **Smaller companies** of under $50 million in annual revenue face several challenges in their move towards heightened warehousing efficiency. While smaller companies do a commendable job in delivering product to customers with low order cycle times (more than half fulfill orders within two days of order placement), warehouse visibility remains inadequate. Overall IT infrastructures and WMS functionality also lag behind in many of these enterprises as compared to their larger counterparts. By neglecting warehouse technology, smaller operations typically hit the wall in productivity gains, and many report they can no longer squeeze more productivity out of their manual-intensive systems. Others fear that their simplistic automation will not scale to support their operations as their company grows. With stunted IT enhancements, customer service information will also lag.

- **Midsize companies** have a different set of challenges. To compete effectively against their larger competition, many midsize companies are seeking ways to make their warehouse more agile to capitalize on their customers’ increasing requirements for tailored order fulfillment. Their ability to be more agile and responsive than larger competitors helps them win and keep business.

- **Large enterprises** of more than $1 billion in revenue are continually searching for ways to do more with less. Consolidation of multiple sites, while cost effective from a square footage perspective, has placed more pressure on the warehouse to handle multiple tasks in a high-volume environment. Filling orders placed through multiple channels (e.g., direct, Internet, catalog, distributors, retailers), recognizing the need for robust labor management, and addressing high...
inventory volumes and SKU counts keeps large warehouse managers seeking the most efficient throughput strategies.

**Ignored the Data in the Corner**

Compounding issues for companies of all sizes is a fundamental lack of the business analyst role specific to the warehousing environment. By comparison, business analysts are common in manufacturing and other supply chain areas, helping to identify root causes of performance issues and analyzing alternate solutions. While there is no shortage of information available critiquing supply chains on the whole, assessing and continually improving warehouse performance has not received the same level of analysis focus at most organizations.

Says, the distribution manager of a large non-profit organization, “The warehousing function in my organization is put on the backburner – especially in terms of resources as compared to other areas of the supply chain.” He adds, “We’ve tried to tie into value-stream mapping to bring attention into the warehouse. But again, it comes down to resource allocation and the warehouse has traditionally come up on the short end.”

**Pulling the Right Productivity Levers**

For companies seeking to improve performance in their warehousing operations, there are many options available to improve productivity and lower warehousing costs. Enhancing visibility to warehouse activities and inventory and order status is the top action that respondents have taken or are planning to take to improve their operations (Figure 4).

**Figure 4: Top Actions Being Planned or Taken to Improve Warehouse Operations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>% of Respondents Citing as Among Their Top 3 Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Create more agile warehouse environment to support customer-specific demands</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieve more productivity from existing facilities by revising throughput strategies</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve visibility to warehouse activities and inventory and order status</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve warehouse employee productivity</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build a new or expanded distribution center</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement more value-add services capabilities</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: *Aberdeen Group*, October, 2006
Today, only 14% of respondents have a company-wide view of real-time warehouse order status, labor status, and inventory, while 44% have limited real-time visibility but just for warehouse staff and managers. Nearly a quarter say they have no real-time visibility whatsoever into their warehousing and distributions operations. If an enterprise establishes a visibility system in manufacturing and logistics but neglects the warehouse, the chain of communication is broken.

In most cases, gaining better visibility and executing on the other planned actions outlined in Figure 4 is requiring warehouse managers to implement additional technology, including:

- Labor optimization software
- Materials handling automation
- RF, RFID, light-directed, or voice-directed technology
- WMS with visibility and key warehousing analytics
- Slotting and layout tools
- Increased cross docking or value-added services support
Chapter Two: Key Business Value Findings

**Key Takeaways**
- Best in Class companies deliver on-time complete shipments to customer request date between 97% to 99% of time.
- Best in Class companies are 2.5 times more likely to have reduced warehousing costs by 11-25% since 2004.
- Best in Class companies use more warehouse technology, more updated WMS systems, and are better at cross-training their staff.

Top performers are discovering methods to increase throughput and efficiency, while keeping costs flat or lowering overall warehousing costs. Across the board, companies that are above average warehouse performers in their industry – classified as Best in Class companies – outstrip their average and laggard counterparts by logging between 97% to 99% on-time complete shipments to customer request date while others struggled to fill orders as effectively. Further, Best in Class have been much more successful than their peers at decreasing their warehousing costs and lowering customer order fulfillment times (Figure 5).

**Figure 5: Best in Class Warehouse Cost and Service Improvements Since 2004**

Source: AberdeenGroup, October, 2006
What Best in Class Do Differently

• The Best in Class point to an extensively proliferated warehouse visibility infrastructure that allows them to share information throughout their operation and across the company. Another respondent points to visibility as a means to improvement. A senior vice president in supply at a large retailer indicates that “faster information access to critical users coupled with extended shipping-receiving hours,” led to a decrease in cycle times between 11-20%.

• The Best in Class are nearly twice as likely as Average and Laggards to comprehensively train warehouse associates on several tasks as opposed to ad hoc or no formal training.

• The Best in Class do not use spreadsheets to run their warehouses; rather, they rely on an automated WMS solution.

• The Best in Class are more than twice as likely to have an RFID tagging support system versus their peers, further indicating their belief in the importance of technology for high-performing, customer-centric warehouses.

Technology Is Driving Best in Class Performance

In addition to using a WMS, the Best in Class are also more likely than their lower-performing peers to be using an up-to-date solution – typically only one to two versions old – ensuring that these enterprises have access to the latest productivity features from their WMS vendor.

The Best in Class have also invested in other types of warehouse technology that support agility and speedy throughput. The Best in Class, typically, have invested or plan to invest within 12 months in labor management capabilities, slotting, dashboard systems, RFID, and other technologies. The industry average companies and Laggards use these technologies to a much lower extent.

Best in Class Training Philosophies

The Best in Class are also more likely to invest in employee cross-training. Top performers routinely train employees on a number of tasks in the warehouse, allowing many associates to respond to inventory fluctuations or other issues that may arise. Average performers have also done this to a smaller degree, primarily training their employees on an ad hoc basis. Laggards more rigidly tether associates to a discreet task within the warehouse environment.

Barriers to Improving Warehouse Productivity

Why haven’t more organizations been able to reduce their warehousing costs and improve productivity? As Figure 6 shows, the top cited barriers have to do with a lack of sufficient technology for warehouse automation and visibility. The concern over insufficient technology holds true for respondents across all company sizes. In addition, nearly half of respondents say a top barrier to better warehouse performance is that throughput improvements would require a dramatic revamping of their physical warehouse layout. Innovators are working with systems integrators and others to devise new ways to overcome these obstacles.
Smaller facilities of less than 25,000 square feet are even more likely than their peers to report that their existing warehouse layout and inadequate IT infrastructure are hindering their productivity. A quarter of the small warehousing operations participating in the study report using spreadsheet-based warehouse reporting and management. Aberdeen believes that, across all small companies, a much higher percentage are still using manual-based processes and spreadsheets to run their warehouses. Mid-size to large warehouse facilities generally use a WMS solution vs. spreadsheets, but are seeking additional extensions to improve workflow agility, labor productivity, and throughput.

**Overcoming Productivity Barriers**

To overcome these barriers, fully 55% of companies indicate that capturing warehouse metrics and activities and sharing them in real time have helped or would significantly aid in improving their warehouse performance.

Similarly, 47% of respondents would like to or have installed an enterprise-wide initiative to share best practices across internal warehouse operations. A small publishing company’s warehouse manager indicates that “through the institution of a best practices log,” the company was able to cut its warehousing costs by between 1-10% over the past two years.

A full 47% feel that more productivity could be squeezed from their current facility by revising slotting and layout, while 46% believe cross training employees is a proactive move towards productivity.

The following are a list of actions respondents report taking to overcome their warehouse productivity barriers.

- “We will complete the implementation of a new WMS” – warehouse manager at a small consumer packaged goods distributor
“Following our WMS implementation, we are now normalizing and continuing to align data along with the staff training required to re-establish a new level of standards” – warehouse manager at mid-size industrial equipment manufacturer

“We are working with consultants to redesign our warehouse layout and implement RF technology” – senior vice president at a small distribution enterprise

“We are investing in training and consulting expertise to leverage our WMS solution” – warehouse manager at a large chemical processor

“We are visiting efficient facilities [to learn best practices], and continue to request IT support” – warehouse manager at a mid-size metals products manufacturer
Chapter Three:
Implications & Analysis

Key Takeaways

- 43% of companies are operating a warehouse management system that is at least three software versions old.
- Companies using a best of breed WMS are 370% more likely than their spreadsheet-using peers to have decreased their warehousing costs by 11% or more in the past two years.
- Best of breed WMS users are outperforming in-house developed and custom WMS users across key warehouse performance metrics.

There is a direct correlation between warehouse technology adoption and top performance, as discussed in Chapter 2. The benchmark results show that the age of automation has firmly taken hold in WMS space. Only 13% of respondents indicate that they use a spreadsheet-based reporting system in their operation (Figure 7). The rest of the group uses a best of breed WMS, an ERP-provided WMS, or a custom or in-house developed system. The majority of Average and Best in Class performers are using a best of breed WMS or a custom-developed system. Taking heed of this, many ERP vendors have been investing in upgrading their WMS capabilities.

Figure 7: Types and Ages of WMSs Used by Respondents

Of those using a WMS, six out of 10 say that the version of the WMS they are operating off is at least three years old. As mentioned earlier, the Best in Class are more likely to be using an up-to-date WMS system.
Moving Away from Spreadsheets and Custom Systems

More automation is clearly benefiting companies. Aberdeen research shows that companies using a best of breed WMS are 370% more likely than their spreadsheet-using peers to have decreased their warehousing costs by 11% or more in the past two years. Best of breed WMS users are also 220% more likely to have reduced their order fulfillment cycle times by 11% or more.

Best of breed WMS users also outperform their peers that have deployed in-house or custom-developed warehouse management applications. As Figure 8 shows, best of breed users achieve significantly better performance in critical warehouse metrics (Figure 8). Companies of all sizes should ensure that they are moving toward increased warehouse automation to keep cost and service competitive in their market and assess the value of moving toward commercial WMS solutions.

Figure 8: Warehouse Performance: In-House Developed and Custom WMS Users vs. Best of Breed Users

Source: AberdeenGroup, October, 2006

Case Study: Productivity with Enhanced Automation

Michael Rodgers, Information Systems Manager for Rev-A-Shelf, a mid-size housewares distributor, has found marked success through his implementation of a new WMS, offering his enterprise badly needed visibility.

“We didn’t have a way of viewing real-time inventory to make decisions across the board – inventory, sales, or production,” says Rodgers. “For instance, we operate two shifts, but we were losing product between them because no one was recording where they put inventory. This created tremendous production ‘backflows’ and associates would spend the beginning of their shifts searching for product.”
As a result of the lack of robust visibility and inventory control, the company had inventory write-offs at the end of each month and an inventory view accuracy around 86% – and the operation was run using spreadsheets.

To fix this challenge, Rev-A-Shelf implemented a WMS system that allowed it to create a more accurate inventory reading. “Now, we’re at 99.6% accuracy and no more large write-offs,” says Rodgers. “We have 100% accountability of who moves something. Also, we have been able to see some structural issues that prevented us from optimal flow. We corrected those issues as well and now are operating at higher throughput with great visibility.”

**WMS Upgrade Priorities**

Companies operating off of older WMSs are missing out on several versions’ worth of upgrades and updates that can drive enhanced productivity. New capabilities such as service-oriented architecture, dashboard capabilities, and analytics are being left untapped. Companies running an outdated system should reevaluate their investment strategy for warehousing automation.

Figure 9 shows that more than half of companies are planning to upgrade or implement a new WMS in the next 18 months.

**Figure 9: Companies’ WMS Upgrade and Implementation Plans**

![WMS Upgrade Chart]

Source: Aberdeen Group, October, 2006

A WMS replacement market is emerging, in which companies are seeking to replace custom systems or aging commercial solutions. With respondents’ median WMS age hovering a bit over four years, many warehouse managers are already searching for systems to replace their legacy WMS. The top motivations for replacing a WMS are shown in Figure 10. Warehouse executives looking for a new WMS are seeking functionality that will enhance warehouse agility, speed, and visibility.
Wanted: Systems that Support Warehouse Flexibility

Wanting to explore more real time process throughput and fewer manual workarounds, 76% of companies searching for a new WMS indicate that they desire a system featuring configurable workflows that automatically trigger and assign tasks based on customer and order attributes.

Warehouse flexibility is a must in being able to accommodate seasonal inventory waves, labor fluctuations, multi-channel requirements, and customer demands for specialized packing and labeling and value-added services. Operations that can react in an agile manner to push through hot orders, bypassing traditional material handling steps, can also help improve productivity. With an inflexible WMS, manual workarounds have to be established, draining productivity, or the systems have to be modified to meet business requirements, which raises project risk and increases maintenance and upgrade costs and IT resource requirements. Those that feel constrained by their current process protocols or warehouse layout should investigate the current generation of more flexible WMS solutions now on the market from a number of providers.

Case Study: WMS Drives Flexibility

A major apparel manufacturer and distributor required a more robust WMS to manage the complexities of high-volume retail distribution through multiple channels.

It needed a system that could be configured easily to keep pace with shifting requirements to keep step with seasonal inventory fluctuations. In short, the operation had to receive hundreds of thousands of different products and ship them accurately and on time to thousands of customers with varying order profiles—all in an industry in which inventory changes dramatically from one season to the next.
The enterprise implemented a WMS that offered it the ability to adapt business processes and stay flexible in its ever-changing industry—a lesson the company had learned with the rigidity of its previous WMS.

“Companies need to consider what they want to do not just today, but down the road,” says the company’s senior vice president of distribution. “One of the things the platform offered was the ability to grow with our business. We're a very dynamic organization and have a lot of changes in our business practices.”

**New On-Demand WMS Options**

While only a limited number of on-demand (also called “Software as a Service” or “hosted”) WMS solutions are currently available, there is considerable interest in the technology. More than 35% of respondents say they would investigate using an on-demand WMS for their operation, with more than 18% of those respondents indicating that they would consider on-demand software for their primary WMS. The remaining respondents showing interest would do so only for smaller stocking locations.

Thus far, the few on-demand WMS modules available have been designed with the smallest warehouse operations in mind. Billed at a monthly subscription (with an additional nominal charge per transaction sometimes levied as well), on-demand WMSs typically offer core functionality appropriate to smaller or less complex operations. Companies with more complex functionality requirements should continue to pursue traditional on-premise WMS solutions.

An on-demand WMS can be an initial step for warehouse operators to transition away from spreadsheets and into the realm of warehouse automation. An advantage of the on-demand model is the assurance that users will always be operating the most current software version of their system – with no extra costs per upgrade. Further, smaller enterprises will not have to retain in-house IT specialists for implementation or maintenance since those tasks are performed by the software vendor. Smaller warehouses still using spreadsheets and with limited in-house IT capabilities would do well to explore this option.

**Adoption Plans for Warehouse Technology Extensions**

To improve warehouse productivity, many companies are seeking to extend their core WMS with additional modules and functionality. Table 1 shows how respondents are prioritizing their warehouse IT investments.

**Table 1: Warehouse Technology Adoption Rates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Warehouse Technology Adoption Priorities</th>
<th>Have System Today</th>
<th>Plan to Adopt in Next 18 Months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warehouse Analytics for scorecarding, metrics and analysis</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dashboard providing views into warehousing activity, order status, and inventory availability</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slotting or Layout Optimization Tool</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cross-Docking and Flow Through Support | 34% | 35%
RFID Tagging support | 12% | 33%
Labor Management System | 27% | 29%
Voice-Directed Technology | 11% | 18%

Source: AberdeenGroup, October, 2006

Analytics Offer Productivity Gains

Of increasing importance to warehouse productivity is the utilization of analytics and metrics. As shown in Table 1, this is the top adoption priority for companies. The most innovative warehouse operators are mining their warehouse data to understand the true cost to serve each customer or order type and then putting in place programs that lead to improved gross margins. Historical data and projections of future order volume and activity are also being mined by companies to improve warehouse slotting and better plan labor workloads, staff training programs, and labor productivity metrics down to a task level.

Innovators are designating a “master scheduler/process engineer/lean expert” for the warehouse to help mine the data for performance improvement.

Best practices in warehouse analytics include:

- Disseminate warehouse data outside the warehouse walls to all appropriate trading partners
- Recognize that more data allows for better configuring of slotting, labor planning, etc.
- Recognize data as a goldmine of information on the true costs to serve customers and use it to help set better pricing and make better customer service decisions (e.g., If I waive the warehouse accessoriel charge for this customer order, will the transaction still be profitable?)
- Seek warehouse technology solutions that let business analysts create reports, configure dashboards, and perform ad hoc analytics, rather than having to rely on the IT organization
- Provide visibility into warehouse operation status, costs, inventory availability, and cycle times to order takers upstream to improve order promising and order profitability decisions

Labor Management Becoming Important Across Industries

A hot topic in warehousing today is the migrating of engineered labor standards – more commonly found in the retail sector – to the warehousing floor. No longer a simple punch-in time clock, warehouse executives are exploring software applications that will benchmark employees’ productivity over an established time period. By establishing a productivity trend, warehouse managers are able to identify the times of highest productivity, the most appropriate positioning of floor associates, and recognize top performance.
Roughly one-quarter of all respondents indicate that they currently operate a labor management system in their warehouse environments today – with a slightly higher percentage planning on doing so in the next 18 months. These enterprises are enticed by the promise of increased labor visibility and productivity that the module offers (Figure 11).

**Figure 11: Labor Management Technology Priorities**

Source: *Aberdeen Group*, October, 2006

**Case Study: Driving Productivity with Labor Management**

A mid-market auto parts distribution center productivity manager needed to take his organization to the next level. “We identified that we needed labor standards to do this,” the manager explains. “We had historical averages but they weren’t scientific – they were based on our executives’ opinions. We chose a labor management suite that offered a discrete look at operations, instead of a stop watch look.”

The company implemented labor management software into its picking, shipping, receiving and stocking departments. “We’ve had between 5-10% productivity improvements in all these areas and we’ve maintained this improved performance over time,” the DC manager explains. “We now have the data to continually refine our practices. We hold associates to the standard and issue corrective actions to help our employees reach par and have developed a standards-based bonus program to reward those going above and beyond.”

One of the areas in which labor management has made a significant difference in the warehouse planning process is in the company’s picking department. “We are now able to plan much better for where associates will be placed throughout the shift to respond to daily demands,” says the manager. “I know where I stand with labor in the beginning of the shift – and I know what we’ll need in terms of overtime before the shift begins based on labor trending analysis.”
Throughput Advances via Material Handling Automation

Material handling automation cannot be overlooked in the drive toward increased warehouse productivity. The use of carousels, sorters, conveyors, put to light systems, and so on can be the key to improving productivity and eliminating the need to invest in additional warehouse facilities. For instance, a major sporting goods retailer doubled its throughput volumes in the same facility due to productivity gains as a result of a WMS implementation and implementing material handling automation.

Innovators are now looking to Europe for additional ideas on how to use material handling equipment. European warehouses typically maximize space by evaluating square footage vertically. Their space constraints horizontally are far more rigid than what is typically found in the more sprawling U.S., which has led to innovative uses of material handling automation.

Equipment Use Continues to Grow

Warehouse operators of all sizes are focused on acquiring additional warehouse equipment. Almost 60% of respondents indicate that they will invest in new or additional bar code scanning equipment in the next 18 months, with 53% looking to invest in additional lift trucks or related equipment.

Table 2: Planned Warehousing Equipment Expenditures for the Next 18 Months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Planned Expenditures in Next 18 Months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bar code scanning</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lift truck additions/upgrades</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF terminals</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveyor</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveyor</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFID infrastructure</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automated storage and retrieval systems (AS/RS)</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pick to light</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Smaller enterprises are more focused on investing in RF terminals and conveyors than their larger counterparts, yet only 9% indicate they are in the market for RFID infrastructure. Fully half of all mid-size enterprises indicate that RFID is on their expenditure radar, as are improvements to their lift truck fleet. Lift truck purchases are also on the radar of large enterprises (50%) while 75% say that they will invest in their bar code scanning initiatives.
Chapter Four: Recommendations for Action

Key Takeaways

- Warehouse operations should assess the benefits of moving to a commercial WMS.
- Robust warehouse visibility has a direct correlation to warehousing productivity excellence.

Those operations looking to ramp up performance in key areas such as cycle times, costs, visibility, and labor management need to undertake an end-to-end assessment of their current warehouse technology infrastructure.

By improving their IT capabilities, enterprises have shown increased efficiencies in key performance areas. Table 3 shows the technology benefits reported by those companies that have been able to reduce their warehousing costs in the past two years by 11% or more.

Table 3: Benefits Achieved Through IT Implementations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefits from Warehouse Technology Investments</th>
<th>% of Warehouse Cost Leaders Reporting Gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased inventory accuracy</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased order fulfillment capacity with same staffing</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved order fulfillment accuracy</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved ability to meet customer-specific requirements</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced order pick times</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved order fulfillment speed</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased inventory turns</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: AberdeenGroup, October, 2006
Competitive Framework

Benchmark results show a wide disparity in warehouse management capabilities. To measure how your company stacks up, use Table 4 to assess your warehouse productivity.

Table 4: Competitive Framework for Warehouse Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Laggards</th>
<th>Industry Average</th>
<th>Best in Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organization</strong></td>
<td>Employees typically dedicated to equipment or task</td>
<td>Ad hoc cross training of associates by task and equipment</td>
<td>Formally cross train associates on several tasks and equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Knowledge</strong></td>
<td>No availability of real-time order status, labor status, inventory</td>
<td>Visibility of order status, inventory, and labor for warehouse managers and staff</td>
<td>Real-time view of warehouse order status, inventory, and labor across company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Technology</strong></td>
<td>Spreadsheet and paper-based systems are prevalent</td>
<td>Basic, installed WMS</td>
<td>WMS augmented by labor management, slotting technology, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance</strong></td>
<td>75-89% on-time and complete shipments</td>
<td>90-96% on-time and complete shipments</td>
<td>97%+ on-time and complete shipments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance</strong></td>
<td>90-96% warehouse order pick accuracy</td>
<td>97-98% warehouse order pick accuracy</td>
<td>99%+ warehouse order pick accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance</strong></td>
<td>4 days to 2 weeks from order receipt to warehouse shipment</td>
<td>2-3 days from order receipt to warehouse shipment</td>
<td>1 day or less from order receipt to warehouse shipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance</strong></td>
<td>More than 8 hours dock to stock cycle time</td>
<td>3-8 hours dock to stock cycle time</td>
<td>2 hours or less dock to stock cycle time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: AberdeenGroup, October, 2006

Following are steps to improving warehouse productivity, segmented by a company’s current maturity level.

Laggard Steps to Success

1. **Immediately set a plan in action to migrate from a spreadsheet-based warehouse inventory monitoring system to a WMS supported by bar code scanning**

Focus on the core functionality your enterprise needs to increase inventory and order pick accuracy. Assess providers’ abilities to deliver best practice templates and insights, not just the functionality. Seek a solution that you will be able to implement and maintain. Smaller warehousing environments should consider an on-demand WMS in addition to traditional on-premise applications. Most on-demand WMS options offer a monthly subscription payment schedule with no contractual obligation outside of a month-to-month basis. As part of the assessment, evaluate which areas of the warehouse should also be automated (conveyors, carousals, pick to light, etc.).
2. **Invest in formal training to allow for a more agile operation, able to adjust to inventory flow fluctuations**

Laggards typically have warehousing employees dedicated to a specific task, without the wherewithal to perform elsewhere in the operation. Production metrics indicate that Industry Average and Best in Class performers train their employees in multiple disciplines, able to respond to throughput issues immediately. Avoid ad hoc training and develop a program that trains new hires in several facets of the warehouse operation.

### Industry Norm Steps to Success

1. **Strongly consider technology investments outside of the core WMS functionality**

Almost across the board, top performers have installed (or plan to do so in the near future) systems and practices that enhance cross docking, labor management, slotting, RFID support, and dashboards. Warehouse managers looking to enhance operations should analyze which areas of their operation demand the most attention and focus on those initially. It’s likely that a combination of a few technologies would prove more beneficial than an investment in a single productivity application. As part of the process, evaluate where to make the next investments in warehouse equipment.

2. **Focus on enhancing visibility to support better communication up and down stream in the supply chain**

Industry Average companies typically have comprehensive visibility for warehouse staff and managers only. The next step is to provide a company-wide view of real-time warehouse order status, labor status, and inventory. Also focus on sharing cost-to-serve information to help improve gross margins.

3. **Make customer order on-time rates a top priority**

Best in class performers fulfill their customer orders typically in less than one day. Average performers should establish processes and seek technology that facilitates timely shipment.

4. **Upgrade your WMS if older than four years**

Although most vendors will support a legacy WMS, Aberdeen analysis finds that a significant percentage of Best in Class performers operate a WMS that is less than three years old. While legacy WMSs may function properly, there is no getting around the fact that the software running the system is several versions outdated. Companies operating an older WMS often do not know what functionality they are missing out on that could drive improved productivity or enable more efficient support for seasonal inventory fluctuations or customer demands for tailored fulfillment services.

### Best in Class Next Steps

1. **Focus on using warehouse analytics to improve productivity and profitability**

Best in Class warehouses are taking a cue from their manufacturing and supply chain peers and are investing in warehouse analytics. Analyze performance de-
fects, seasonal flow fluctuations, and the like to drive productivity and reliability. Evaluate the cost to serve customers and the cost to fulfill different categories of orders and share the information with the organization to improve gross margin management.

2. **Evaluate emerging best practices for automation and don’t be restricted by geography**

   Best in Class companies are continuing to use automation investments to drive more productivity. Look to innovations among other Best in Class warehouse operators and don’t limit these investigations to your own geographical region. For instance, American-based operations should look to European organizations for fresh material handling automation ideas.

3. **Continue to expand your WMS technology into areas such as labor management, slotting optimization, RFID, dashboards, etc.**

   Few companies have fully tapped the power of WMS extensions. Fill in the gaps in your warehouse operations by evaluating the benefits of labor management modules, slotting and layout optimization, more advanced warehouse dashboards (including views for key stakeholders outside of the warehouse), voice-directed technology, etc.
Appendix A: Research Methodology

Between September and October 2006, Aberdeen Group examined the technology priorities, productivity barriers, and plans for expansion of 180 warehouse managers at all size companies in various industry sectors.

Responding supply chain, logistics, and operations executives completed an online survey that included questions designed to determine the following:

- What correlation, if any, exists between newer warehouse management systems and high productivity
- The role systems integration plays in lowering cycle times and heightening throughput
- Current and planned use of automation to aid inventory throughput
- The benefits, if any, that have been derived from undertaking an aggressive warehouse technology spending philosophy

Aberdeen supplemented this online survey effort with telephone interviews with select survey respondents, gathering additional information on warehouse management strategies, experiences, and results.

The study aimed to identify emerging best practices for warehouse management and provide a framework by which readers could assess their own warehouse management capabilities.

Responding enterprises included the following:

- **Job title/function**: The research sample included respondents with the following job titles: procurement, supply chain, logistics executive or manager, manufacturing/operations executive or manager, IT manager, CFO or other C-level officer, and finance/accounting manager.

- **Industry**: The research sample included respondents from a variety of industries including automotive, chemical, medical equipment, construction/engineering, and retail and distribution.

- **Geography**: Nearly all study respondents were from North America, with most residing in the U.S. Remaining respondents were from the United Kingdom and the Asia-Pacific region.

- **Company size**: About 35% of respondents were from large enterprises (annual revenues above US$1 billion); 30% were from midsize enterprises (annual revenues between $50 million and $1 billion); and 35% of respondents were from small businesses (annual revenues of $50 million or less).
Appendix B:
Related Aberdeen Research & Tools

Related Aberdeen research that forms a companion or reference to this report includes:

- The Transportation Management Benchmark Report (September 2006)
- Technology Strategies for Inventory Management (September 2006)
- The Supply Chain Innovators Benchmark Report (April 2006)
- The On Demand Tipping Point in Supply Chain (March 2006)

Information on these and any other Aberdeen publications can be found at www.Aberdeen.com.
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